		-				
SWORN STATEMENT						
For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS						
PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT						
	Title 10 USC Section 301; Title					
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: ROUTINE USES:	To provide commanders and I Your social security number is					,
DISCLOSURE:	Disclosure of your social security			оенинсанс	on to racintate ning an	u l
1. LOCATION		2. DA	TE (YYYYMMDD) 3. TIM		4. FILE NUMBER	
CAMP VICTORY, IR			5-2004/21/2 2004/21/2			
5. LAST NAME, FIRST N SHIDER, SHANNON			6. SSN		7. GRADE/STATUS E:7	
8. ORGANIZATION OR	ADDRESS					
9.						
I, <u>SHANNON KE</u>	ITH SNIDER	,	WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLO	WING STAT	EMENT UNDER OATH	
-	HARGE OF THE HARD SIT					
A: CPT REESE WAS OF THE HARDSITE.	S IN OIC OF THE HARD S	ITE AND C	CAMP VIGILANT. THE	CPA WAS	ULTIMATELY IN C	HARGE
Q: DESCRIBE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MI AND MP IN WINGS 1A AND 1B. WHO'S ORDERS TOOK PRECEDENCE?						
A: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MI AND MP WAS A VERY GOOD WORKING RELATIONSHIP FOR THE FIRST TWO MONTHS. MI WAS VERY PLEASED WITH THE MP'S PERFORMANCE. THEN MI PERSONNEL BEGAN TO EXPECT THE MP'S TO DO MORE AND MORE. FOR EXAMPLE ENFORCEMENT OF FOUR DIFFERENT SLEEP MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR FOUR DIFFERENT DETAINEES, PLUS TAKING SPECIAL CARE OF TWO DETAINEES THAT WERE ON MI'S "GOOD GUY" LIST. THE MP'S STARTING REQUESTING THAT ALL INSTRUCTIONS BE PUT INTO WRITING, WHICH SEEMED TO FRUSTRATE SOME OF THE MI PERSONNEL. ORDERS FROM THE 320TH MP BN TOOK PRECEDENCE FOR THE MP'S WORKING IN WING 1. THERE WERE A FEW CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, WHEN THIS OCCURRED THE MP'S WOULD HAVE THE MI OPERATIONS CHIEF CONTACT THE 320TH SO THAT THE TWO COMMANDS COULD WORK OUT THE SITUATION.						
Q: DESCRIBE THE	ORDERS; HOW WERE TH	EY RECEI	VED, WHO SIGNED THE	EM. WHER	E WERE THEY KE	PT.
A: THE ORDERS THAT WE RECEIVED, PRIMARILY SLEEP MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES. WERE HAND CARRIED TO WING 1 BY A SOLDIER FROM MI, USUALLY THE DETAINEES HANDLER THAT THE SCHEDULE WAS FOR. IT WOULD INCLUDE TIMES THAT THE DETAINEE WAS TO BE AWAKE, WHEN HE WAS TO SLEEP. WHEN HE WAS TO BE GIVEN A MEAL AND WHEN THE MEAL WAS TO BE TAKEN AWAY. THESE WRITTEN ORDERS WERE SIGNED BY EITHER COL PAPAS OR CHIEF RIVAS. THEY WERE AND, IF THE DETAINEE IS STILL BEING HELD THERE, SHOULD STILL BE WITH THE DETAINEES FILE ON WING 1						
Q: DID MI GIVE ANY ORDERS, WRITTEN OR ORAL AGAINST THE GENEVA CONVENTION?						
A: YES, AN ORAL ORDER WAS GIVEN TO ONE OF THE MP'S BY AN MI SOLDIER TO "STRIP THE CELL" OF A SPECIFIC DETAINEE. THIS WAS TO INCLUDE CLOTHING AND BEDDING. WHEN THIS WAS BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CHIEF RIVAS HE IMMEDIATELY HAD IT CHANGED.						
Q: DID MI GIVE ORDERS TO USE PHYSICAL VIOLENCE?						
A: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.						
Q: DESCRIBE SUPERVISORY CLIMATE: WHO ELSE WOULD VISIT WING 1.						
A: LTC JORDAN AND/OR CHIEF RIVAS WOULD VISIT WING 1 ALMOST DAILY AT RANDOM TIMES. CPT BRINSON ALSO VISITED DAILY AT RANDOM TIMES. LTC PHILABAUM, CPT REESE, SGM EMMERSON, CSM ARRISON, 1ST SGT LIPINSKI ALSO VISITED QUITE OFTEN. CSM HARRIS FROM MI CAME IN WITH LTC JORDAN ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS. AND COL PAPAS RECENTLY BEGAN TO VISIT MORE OFTEN.						
10. EXHIBIT		11. INITIAI	S OF PERSON MAKING STA		PAGE 1 OF	PAGES
ADDITIONAL PAGES MU	JST CONTAIN THE HEADING "	STATEMEN	T TAKEN AT	DATED		
THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE BE INDICATED.						

v

J

STATEMENT OF SHANNON KEITH SNIDER TAKEN AT CAMP VICTORY DATED 2004/02/12

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

O: DESCRIBE ACTIONS TAKEN TO ELIMINATE SOME PROBLEMS.

A: AFTER THE SHOOTING INCIDENT IN WING 1/A THE REQUEST WAS SUBMITTED THROUGH THE CHAIN OF COMMAND TO HAVE THE UPPER WINDOWS BOARDED UP. IT WAS FOUND THROUGH INVESTIGATION THAT THE PISTOL AND KNIVES WERE RECEIVED BY THE DETAINEE FROM AN ICO THROUGH THE TOP TIER WINDOW. THE WINDOWS WERE SOON COVERED. THIS ALSO HELPED FOR COMFORT OF THE DETAINEES BECAUSE THERE IS NO HEAT IN THE HARDSITE. AFTER AN ESCAPE OF TWO INMATES FROM WING 3/A THROUGH A WINDOW AND UNFENCED AREA, MORE PRESSURE WAS PUT ON THE IRAQI CONTRACTOR FROM THE COMPANY AND BATTALION TO INSTALL MORE FENCING WHICH INCLUDED ADDITION RECREATION YARDS. THE REQUEST FOR THE RECREATION YARDS HAD BEEN SUBMITTED SEVERAL TIMES TO THE CPA THERE WERE FOUR WINGS OF INMATES (APPROXIMATELY 600 DETAINEES) TO CYCLE THROUGH TWO REC YARDS EACH DAY. DURING A POWER OUTAGE AT NIGHT A REQUEST WAS PUT IN TO THE BATTALION FOR OUTDOOR LIGHTING. PORTABLE LIGHTING WAS BROUGHT IN WITHIN 30 MINUTES. WHEN DETAINEE SUPPLIES BECAME EXTREMELY LOW BECAUSE THE CPA WASN'T ABLE TO, OR JUST DIDN'T SUPPLY THE HARDSITE WITH THE BASIC NEED ITEMS, I ASKED. THROUGH CPT REESE, THE BATTALION FOR SOME SUPPLIES. THE BATTALION USED THEIR SUPPLY SYSTEM WHICH SUPPLIED CAMP VIGILANT AND CAMP GANCI, TO SUPPLEMENT THE HARDSITE UNTIL THE CPA WAS ABLE TO COME THROUGH WITH THOSE NEEDED ITEMS.///////END OF STATEMENT////////

AFFIDAVIT

L SHANNON KEITH SNIDER , HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE ____. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT

	(Signature of Person Making Statement)			
WITNESSES:	Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law			
	administer oaths, this 12 day of FEB , 2009			
	at CAMP KICTORY IBAQ			
	- Clos K			
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS	(Signature of Person Administering Oath)			
	ichan Stakurs			
	(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)			
	- ART. 136 VENJ			
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS	(Authority To Administer Oaths)			
NITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT	PAGE 2 OF 2 FAGES			

PAGE 3, DA FORM 2823, DEC 1998

On 12 February 2004, a team of officers, directed by Major General Antonio Taguba, conducted the following interview. Major General Taguba was appointed as an Investigating Officer under the provisions of Army Regulation 15-6, by Lieutenant General David D. McKiernan, Commanding General of the Coalition Forces Land Component Command (CFLCC), to look into allegations of maltreatment of detainees, detainee escapes and accountability lapses, at Abu Gharib, also known as the Baghdad Central Confinement Facility (BCCF). The panel also inquired into training, standards, employment, command policies, and internal policies, concerning the detainees held at Abu Gharib prison. Finally, the panel looked into the command climate and the command and supervisory presence

The following persons were present:

COL Kinard J. La Fate,	, MP, CFLCC – PMO, Interviewer
LTC Gary M. Kluka,	, JA, CFLCC – SJA, Interviewer
LTC Timothy A. Weathersbee,	, 705 th MP Battalion, Interviewer
SFC Shannon K. Snider,	, 372 nd MP Company, Interviewee
SSG John W. Gaines, Jr.,	, 27D30, CFLCC – SJA, Recorder

The interview is summarized as follows:

My name is Shannon Keith Snider. My social security number is **Example 1** I am a Sergeant First Class. I am currently with the 372nd Military Police Company, at Abu Ghraib BCCF. I am Reserve.

My unit moved here from Al Hila, Iraq. Our mission there was law and order and combat support operations. About the middle of September 2003 we moved back to Kuwait for unit reorganization and resupply. In the beginning October 2003 we moved to the prison.

At the MOB station we trained on all combat support, to include battlefield circulation control, MSR control, Base defense, and a little bit of law and order. We didn't receive any training on our current mission.

I am the NCOIC of the hard site. The duties of personnel working there are to safeguard prisoners, make sure inmates receive meals on time, supervise Iraqi corrections officers (ICO's), and man the different wings of the prison

Our day-to-day activities are governed by the Rules of Engagement (ROE). Our Rules of Engagement are can't shoot until prisoner reaches final barrier, shout, show, shoot, and use of minimum force necessary. We received a briefing at the MOB site on the Geneva Hague Convention, and customs of the country. The rules are not posted. I've tried to get some kind of policies from the Military Intelligence (MI), for MP's who work in the MI wing on what they expect the MP's to do. I've asked CPT Wood, Chief Rivas, and CPT Fitz the Jag officer who works with MI. I've asked months ago. CPT Wood

promised it to me, but then she went on leave and I assumed she forgot and I was never able to catch up with her.

MI issued the rules we followed, specifically for the MI wing. We received guidance from MI on altered diets, turning on and off of radio, and sleep management. At first we didn't keep documentation and then we started getting everything in writing signed by LTC Palcus or Chief Rivas. The requests were all laid out with times to do everything. It became a problem for us because it took away from normal health and welfare for the inmates. We were trying to get someone from MI to take care of the MI wing business, so that we could do our regular MP duties. I assumed they refused, but I never asked.

The request were kept in the individual detainees folder, we have a folder for each cell. I don't know if there still there or not because I have not been there for over a month. It is possible that MI could have taken the request, because they just sit in an MRE box on that wing. The MP NCOIC of the wing is in charge of the documents.

My role is to walk around visiting the soldiers making sure they are all right. I see if they needed a break or anything, if so I would take their spot until they got back. I would sit with the shift NCOIC to see if he needed anything, and would help him out. At the beginning we didn't have a computer in the office, so I did a lot of work in my office at the LSA typing up a spreadsheet listing the prisoners and what cells they were in.

I chose to alter my shift so that I could work with my day shift and my night shift. At times it got to be pretty rough as far as manning the prison with limited personnel. We made a request for more personnel through our company, CPT Reese; he went up through the Battalion. As far as I know it went all the way up to the Brigade, and we got no help. We finally did get our second platoon up from Al Hila, they just came up maybe a week ago.

The battalion commander is LTC Phillabaum. He comes down maybe 2 or 3 times a week, not necessarily in the prison but on the grounds. In the MI section we keep a log on as to who comes in and speaks to certain detainees. As far as the other sections we didn't keep a log, if there were a visitor coming in they were either escorted by CPT Reese, CPT Brinson, or someone else from the Battalion.

I am aware of the allegations of the abuse of the detainees. Four of the soldiers involved belonged to my platoon. Only MP's worked the hard site. There was a mechanic involved who was doing maintenance to the generator and an admin clerk who worked for the battalion, who should have never been in there. It was a violation to let these individuals in.

I am not positive if MI asked the guards to do any of those things that occurred that night. Since this investigation we have been here so I am not exactly sure if anything has been done as far as mitigating so this doesn't happen again. A few people did some things they knew they shouldn't have done. Posted or not, what I heard is not against Geneva Convention, it just against command policy. I don't care if it's written or not they should have none not to do that.

There were conflicts between the MP's and MI. MI wanted to know why we wanted everything in writing. We needed everything in writing to keep everything flowing to make sure we didn't miss something. After a while we got to the point that we were almost being taken advantage of. They wanted us to do this and that, to escort the prisoners to their interrogation area, and to stand in on the interrogation. We didn't have enough personnel to do that, so we convinced them that they were going to have to escort their own detainees, and use their own people for interrogation.

I have never heard the term "softening up" used; I assume it means breaking down. I've heard MI saying that we have to break them down. I assume the term means break them down mentally to get them to speak free. It is my understanding that the orders MI handed down were legal. We tried to get limitations from MI on what we could and could not do, but we never received any.

We brought problems up to LTC Phillabaum, he said he would do the best he could. He was able resolve a few problems such as power, we had a big problem with power and the reckyards, we were running four tiers running through 2 reckyards, so then they finally got a contract to get more reckyards.

We never got any SOP's from brigade. We never seen the Brigade Commander, the first time I seen her is when I got here and had to report to her. I don't know when she took over the brigade. I was transferred back to the 372nd back in February 2002. I've only seen her one time since the change of command back in June 2003. Her name is General Karpinski. The first time I seen the Brigade Sergeant Major is when I had to report to the general. I didn't know there was a change in SGM's, I didn't know the first SGM. There was a lack of Brigade presence at the facility. I don't know for sure, but I feel the Brigade had a lot to do with the problems associated with getting issues resolved at the prison.

I am a USAR soldier

I am an electrician in my civilian career.

The M.I. wing is wing 1, where the security detainees are held, Wing 2,3,4,5, and 6 are regular inmate wings

CPT Wood is the MI company commander, CPT Fitz is the Judge Advocate for MI.

I submitted some operations matters to MAJ Price who is with the MI unit under COL Pals, specifically to get MI people into wing 1, 24 hours so that they could handle MI business

I have known SPC Grinder since February of 2003, but didn't know him very well, In October 2003 he was assigned to my platoon. He worked with SFC Pauley who gave him a very good recommendation.

Weapons weren't allowed into 1A and 1B, until there was a serous force protection issue. We were receiving intelligence from Camp Vigilence and Camp Ganci about a plot to overthrow the guards at the prison.

The detainees were allowed 1 hour of recreation per day. CPA and ICO came up the idea. It was only for wings 1-4; wing 1 didn't get any recreation time.

I chose to work a modified shift so that I can work with all my soldiers. I wanted to be able to interact with my night shift and my day shift

CPT Brinson was in charge of 1A and 1B until mid-December, he basically was the OIC of the hard site, and I was the NCOIC of the hard site. I lead the shift NCO's. I would be there for support if they needed anything. I visited 1A and 1B on a regular basis. When CPT Brinson left CPT Reese asked me to keep an eye on 1A and 1B.

Orders came from LTC Phillabaum, but if I had a problem I would go through my operations or the company commander depending on the situation, then up the chain to MAJ Dinenna, then to LTC Phillabaum.

The panel gave SFC Snider a list of items, to be addressed, and be written on a Sworn Statement.